## 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716) Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260) Brendan Glackin (State Bar No. 199643) Dean Harvey (State Bar No. 250298) Anne B. Shaver (State Bar No. 255928) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNS' 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: 415.956.1000 Facsimile: 415.956.1008  Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064) Lisa J. Leebove (State Bar No. 186705) James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826) JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM 505 Montgomery, Suite 625 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415. 500.6800 Facsimile: 415. 500.6803	ΓΕΙΝ, LLP
11		
12	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class	
13	[Additional counsel listed on signature page]	
14	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN Jo	OSE DIVISION
17 18 19	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MASTER DOCKET NO. 11-CV-2509-LHK  STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER PERMITING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
20	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	REGARDING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL GOOGLE DOCUMENTS
21	ALL ACTIONS	
22		1
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER

## 

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiffs and Defendant Google Inc. hereby stipulate and		
2	agree that:		
3	Whereas Plaintiffs deposed Bill Campbell on February 5, 2013, and Plaintiffs consider		
4	portions of that testimony to be relevant to Plaintiffs' pending motion to compel Google		
5	documents (Dkt. 278);		
6	Whereas Google has informed Plaintiffs that they have no objection to Plaintiffs making		
7	an additional submission to the Court as long as Google has an opportunity to respond to		
8	Plaintiffs' submission;		
9	Therefore, Plaintiffs propose, and Google does not oppose, the following:		
10	By Wednesday, February 13, 2013, Plaintiffs may file one additional page of		
11	briefing regarding Mr. Campbell's testimony, and Plaintiffs may attach excerpts		
12	from that testimony; and		
13	By Monday, February 18, 2013, Google may file one additional page of briefing in		
14	response, and Google may attach additional excerpts of testimony.		
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED:		
16	Dated: February 8, 2013 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP		
17			
18	By: <u>/s/ Dean M. Harvey</u> Dean M. Harvey		
19	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class		
20	Dated: February 8, 2013 JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM		
21			
22	By: <u>/s/ Lisa J. Leebove</u> Lisa J. Leebove		
23	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class		
24	Dated: February 8, 2013 MAYER BROWN LLP		
25	By: <u>/s/ Lee H. Rubin</u> Lee H. Rubin		
26			
27	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.		
28			

## 

1	Filer's Attestation		
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that		
3	concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from all the signatories.		
4	Dated: February 8, 2013	/s/ Dean M. Harvey	
5		Dean M. Harvey	
6	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7			
8	Dated: February 11 . 2013	By: Port S. Alex	
9		Honorabie Judge Paul Singh Grew United States Magistrate Judge	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
20			

28